REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF DONAHUE DRIVE; TM 5518RPL¹/ER 06-14-046

February 21, 2008

I. HABITAT LO	SS PERMIT	ORDINANCE	<u>E</u> – Does the proposed project cor	nform to the		
			Ordinance findings?			
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMP¹	Т		
boundaries of th	ne Multiple Sp	ecies Conse	y off-site improvements are locate rvation Program. Therefore, confo ub Ordinance findings is not requi	ormance to		
II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?						
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT			
proposed project Program. The p	ct are within the project conford litigation Ordin	ne boundarie ms with the N	off-site improvements related to the softhe Multiple Species Conservation Procused in the MSCP Findings date	ation gram and		
III. GROUNDW. the San Diego (es the project comply with the requirence?	irements of		
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMP [™]	Т		
			er supply from the Otay Water Dis			

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers: The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe: The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

Steep Slopes: The average slope for the property is less than 15 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats: No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Valerie Walsh on August 1, 2007. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, County of San Diego staff archaeologist,

Gail Wright on December 28, 2006, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological or historic resources.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

> **NOT APPLICABLE** YES

Discussion: The project Storm Water Management Plan received December 21, 2007 was reviewed for this project and appears to be complete and in compliance with the WPO.

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

> NO NOT APPLICABLE ☐ YES

Discussion:

Even though the proposal could expose people to potentially significant noise levels (i.e., in excess of the County General Plan or Noise Ordinance), the following noise mitigation measures are proposed to reduce the noise impacts to applicable limits:

Based on a Noise Analysis prepared by Eilar Associates and received on August 31, 2007, future traffic noise levels at the center of the proposed residential pads will range from 54.6 dBA CNEL at Lot 2 and 61.6 dBA CNEL at Lot 5. Mitigation is required and can be achieved by construction of a combination of a 2-foot high and 21/2-foot high sound attenuation barrier along the northern perimeter and a portion of the eastern perimeter of Lot 6. Implementation of the recommended sound attenuation barrier will reduce these noise impacts to a maximum of 59.7 dBA CNEL. Please refer to Section 5.1 and Figure 8 for the detailed results of the noise calculations and the location of the recommended sound barrier mitigation. Additionally, the location of the future traffic 60 dBA CNEL contour line includes portions of Lot 3, and the entire area of Lots 4, 5, 6 and 7. Staff recommends a Noise Protection Easement over a portion of Lot 3 and the entire area of Lots 4, 5, 6, 7. Therefore, implementation of the recommended 2 to 2.5foot high sound barrier mitigation and dedication of a Noise Protection Easement will ensure the project will not expose people to potentially significant noise levels that exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego General Plan, Noise Element and will not exceed County Noise Standards.